

Oklahoma Society of CPAs
2024 Annual Report on Peer Review Activities

I. Administering Entity Oversight Process and Procedures

Background

The Oklahoma Society of CPAs (OSCPA) is an administering entity (AE) for the AICPA Peer Review Program and administers peer reviews for Oklahoma, South Dakota, and Kansas Peer Review firms. The OSCP A Peer Review Committee (Committee) is made up of the Committee's chair, 12 Report Acceptance Bodies (RAB) voting members, and 4 contracted technical reviewers.

Oversight selection

AE staff advise OSCP A committee on the minimum number of reviews to be oversighted each year. For firms enrolled in the AICPA peer review program, the minimum for 2024 was 2% each of system reviews and engagement reviews, with a minimum of two each. The minimum number is verified in a letter from the AICPA to the AE.

The committee has established an Oversight Policy and Procedure which contains considerations in selecting reviewers or reviewed firms for oversight and makes recommendations for oversight. AE staff, including the CPA on staff, use the recommendations of the Peer Review Committee, the Oversight Policy and Procedures, and a risk-based approach in selecting firms or reviewers to oversight.

Firms may be selected for oversight based on several factors including, but not limited to, the types of peer review reports previously received, high risk engagements performed by the firm, or if it's the firm's first peer review.

Reviewers may be selected randomly or due to other factors including but not limited to past performance deficiencies such as issuance of an inappropriate peer review report or failure to properly reach the appropriate conclusion during a review, recent qualification as a team or review captain, or if the reviewer has had no oversight in the past three years.

Oversight performance

Oversights are most often performed by a technical reviewer or CPA on Staff. Oversight involves a review of background information, peer review documents submitted by the firm, and documents prepared by the peer reviewer as part of the peer review. Oversight also includes a review of the reports and financial statements as well as firm work papers for specific engagements. Oversight of a system review may include visiting the firm as part of the peer review process. Oversight may also be performed after a review is complete.

2. Review of Technical Reviewers

The CPA on staff performs an annual review of the technical reviewers who provide services to the AE. Reviews are approved by the OSCP A Peer Review Committee.

3. General Results of 2024 Oversight Program

In 2024, the OSCP A performed oversights on two system reviews and two engagement reviews of firms enrolled in the AICPA's peer review program. Four different reviewers were selected. There were no findings as a result of oversight.

II. Summary of Peer Review Programs

1. Number and Names of Peer Review Programs Administered

The OSCPA serves as the AE for Oklahoma, South Dakota, and Kansas for the AICPA Peer Review Program.

2. Number of Enrolled Firms as of 12/31/2024.

	AICPA Peer Review program
Oklahoma	211
South Dakota	51
Kansas	152
Total firms administered	414

3. Results of Peer Reviews Accepted During the Year 2024

a) Results by Type of Peer Review and Report Issued

	AICPA Peer Review Program	
System Reviews	Number	%
Pass	53	77
Pass with deficiency(ies)	10	14
Fail	6	9
Total	69	100

	AICPA Peer Review Program	
Engagement Reviews	Number	%
Pass	70	84
Pass with deficiency(ies)	9	11
Fail	4	5
Total	83	100

b) Type and Number of Reasons for Report Deficiencies for System Reviews

	AICPA Peer Review Program
Leadership responsibilities for quality within the firm (“the tone at the top”)	2
Relevant ethical requirements	2
Acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements	2
Human resources	9
Engagement performance	15
Monitoring	14
Total	44

c) Number of Engagements Not Performed or Reported on in Conformity with Professional Standards in All Material Respects (Nonconforming engagements)

	AICPA Peer Review Program		
	Number of Engagements		
Engagement Type	Reviewed	Nonconforming engagements	%
Audits:	46	9	20
Single Audit			
Government Auditing Standards – All	57	14	25
Other			
ERISA	23	0	0
FDICIA	-	-	-
Other	79	19	24
Reviews	82	14	17
Compilations and Preparations:			
With Disclosures	52	1	2
Omit Disclosures	188	11	6
Financial Forecasts & Projections	-	-	-
SOC Reports	-	-	-
Agreed Upon Procedures	29	6	21
Other SSAEs	18	5	28
Totals	574	79	14

d) Summary of Required Follow-up Actions

	AICPA Peer Review Program
Agree to take/submit proof of CPE	73
Submit to review of nonconforming engagements	15
Agree to pre-issuance reviews	10
Agree to post-issuance reviews	15
Agree to review of remedial actions	0
Submit monitoring or inspection report to Team Captain or Peer Review Committee	0
Submit to outside party revisit	0
Elect to have accelerated review	0
Submit evidence of proper firm licensure	0
Firm has represented in writing they no longer perform or are engaged to perform any engagement subject to peer review	0
Agree to hire outside party or consultant for inspection	4
Team Captain to review Quality Control Document	3
Submit proof of purchase of manuals	0
Agree to join Audit Quality Center	0
Total	120

III. Oversight Process

Oversight Results

a) Peer reviews

AICPA Peer Review Program Firms

Type of Review	Must Select Engagement (GAGAS, ERISA, FDICIA, SOC)	Total Oversights
System	GAGAS, ERISA	2
Engagement		2

The results of our most recent oversight performed by the AICPA Oversight Task Force, which covers only the AICPA Peer Review Program, are available on [AICPA's website](#).